

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office A Brosser's MMISSS (TEK) OF FATES TS ASS/TEM EMASKS Workstatton For 202 (1) wave deptodox

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08 530,661	09 20 1995	BRENT KEETH	MI22-356	5492
23369	550 03.07.2003			
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP			EXAMINER	
•	BERING DRIVE USTON, TX - 77057		WILLE, DO	UGLAS A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

DATE MAILED: 03-07-2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	08/530,661	KEETH ET AL.	~ '(-
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas A Wille	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u>	ebruary 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal r Ex parte Quayle, 1935	natters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
4) Claim(s) <u>6-10,18,19,22,23,25,26</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>6-10,18,19,22,23,25 and 26</u> is/are rej	ected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examine	ř.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	n Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	s been received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTC	

Application/Control Number: 08/530,661

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6 10, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al. ('038) in view of Eimore, Nakamura et al. and Takahashi et al. ('000).
- 3. With respect to claim 6, Takahashi et al. ('038) shows (see cover Figure and column 8, line 17 et seq.) a DRAM device where the cell size is 6F² (column 25, line 17) but does not show the minimum feature size, peripheral circuitry, array size or packaging. Eimore shows (see cover figure and column 8, line 9) a DRAM (column 1, line 9) where a 0.25 micron design rule is used (column 10, line 61). Nakamura et al. shows a DRAM (see cover Figure and column 3, line 66 et seq.) where a 16 M device is shown (column 4, line 25) and shows the chip includes, besides the memory array, timing, address, redundancy, data, test path and voltage supply circuitry.

 Takahashi et al. ('000) show (see Figure 1 and column 5, line 67 et seq.) a DRAM (column 6, line 10) where the die is encapsulated in a package with pins extending outwardly. It would have been obvious to include the peripheral circuitry show by Nakamura et al. since it provides a working device, to include the feature size shown by Eimore since it is known to be functional and to provide a 16M device since it is known to be useful. Note that with the 0.25 micron design rule the area of the memory is less than 6 mm².

Application/Control Number: 08/530,661

Art Unit: 2814

4. With respect to claim 7, the memory array is shown by Takashima et al. ('038) as 4-level (see Figure 29 and column 26, line 34 et seq.) and since the peripheral circuitry does not have an integrated capacitor, it would inherently have less than 4-levels.

- 5. With respect to claim 8, Nakamura et al. show that Figure 1 matches the geometric arrangement of the actual chip and with the memory area being less than 6 mm², the whole chip will obviously be less than 35 mm².
- 6. With respect to claim 9, Takahashi et al. ('038) shows a structure with 5-levels (see Figure 47 and column 28, line 63 et seq.) and since the peripheral circuitry does not have an integrated capacitor, it would inherently have less than 5-levels. Also the memory will have an area of less than 6 mm².
- 7. With respect to claim 10, Nakamura et al. show that Figure 1 matches the geometric arrangement of the actual chip and with the memory area being less than 6 mm², the whole chip will obviously be less than 35 mm² and Takahashi et al. ('038) shows a structure with 5-levels (see Figure 47 and column 28, line 63 et seq.) and since the peripheral circuitry does not have an integrated capacitor, it would inherently have less than 5-levels. Also the memory will have an area of less than 6 mm².
- 8. With respect to claims 18, 19 and 25, the memory arrays with the density shown will have 270 devices in 100 micron².
- 9. With respect to claims 22, 23 and 26, the 16M device has no more than 68M memory cells and with the density shown will have 270 devices in 100 micron².

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Wille whose telephone number is (703) 308-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-3:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

> ticke illedelf Patent Examiner

March 5, 2003